CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT

June 30, 2016

# CONTENTS

ndependent Auditor's Review Report					
Consolidated Financial Statements					
Consolidated Balance Sheets	2				
Consolidated Statements of Income	3				
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity	4				
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5				
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6-19				



#### **Independent Auditor's Review Report**

RSM US LLP

To the Audit Committee Costar Technologies, Inc. Coppell, Texas

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Costar Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2016, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the three-month and six-month periods ending June 30, 2016 and 2015.

#### Management's Responsibility

The Company's management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the interim financial information in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this responsibility includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control sufficient to provide a reasonable basis for the preparation and fair presentation of interim financial information in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to conduct our review in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to reviews of interim financial information. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial information. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

#### Conclusion

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying interim financial information for it to be in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Report on the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015

We previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and we have expressed an unmodified audit opinion on those audited consolidated financial statements in our report dated March 30, 2016. In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Costar Technologies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015, is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited consolidated financial statements from which it has been derived.

RSM US LLP

Dallas, Texas August 11, 2016

THE POWER OF BEING UNDERSTOOD AUDIT | TAX | CONSULTING

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

	J	une 30, 2016	Dec	ember 31, 2015
		(Reviewed)		(Audited)
ASSETS				
Current assets				
Cash	\$		\$	
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts				
of \$85 and \$78 in 2016 and 2015, respectively		5,106		5,509
Inventories, net of reserve for obsolescence				
of \$948 and \$1,000 in 2016 and 2015, respectively		8,948		7,991
Prepaid expenses		707		644
Total current assets		14,761		14,144
Non-current assets				
Property and equipment, net		353		398
Deferred financing costs, net				22
Deferred tax asset, net		7,312		7,312
Trade names, net		2,109		2,227
Distribution agreement, net		734		771
Customer relationships, net		644		695
Covenant not to compete, net		12		14
Goodwill		2,063		2,063
Total assets	\$	27,988	\$	27,646
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  Current liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	2,785	\$	2,995
Accrued expenses and other	Ψ	1,384	Ψ	1,017
Line of credit		1,146		1,189
Short-term debt		592		591
Total current liabilities		5,907		5,792
Long-Term liabilities				
Long-term debt		294		590
Total long-term liabilities		294		590
Total liabilities		6,201		6,382
		0,201	<u> </u>	0,002
Commitments and Contingencies				
Stockholders' Equity Preferred stock				
Common stock		3		3
Additional paid in capital		156,300		156,216
Accumulated deficit		(129,995)		(130,434)
Less common stock held in treasury, at cost		(4,521)		(4,521)
Total stockholders' equity		21,787		21,264
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	27,988	\$	27,646

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT NET INCOME PER SHARE)

		Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 2015				Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 2015					
		Reviewed)	(R	Reviewed)	(F	Reviewed)	(F	Reviewed)			
Net revenues Cost of revenues	\$	9,082 5,651	\$	9,300 5,592	\$	19,212 11,917	\$	17,399 10,472			
Gross profit		3,431		3,708		7,295		6,927			
Selling, general and administrative expenses Engineering and development		2,572		2,328		5,269		4,696			
expense		3,172		685 3,013		1,229 6,498		1,446 6,142			
Income from operations		259		695		797		785			
Other income (expenses) Interest expense Other income and expense, net Total other expenses, net	_	(31) 1 (30)		(43)		(71) 3 (68)		(87) 1 (86)			
Income before taxes Income tax provision		229 94		652 255		729 290		699 273			
Net income	\$	135	\$	397	\$	439	\$	426			
Net income per share: Basic	\$	0.09	\$	0.27	\$	0.29	\$	0.29			
Diluted	\$	0.09	\$	0.26	\$	0.28	\$	0.27			
Weighted average shares outstanding Basic		1,492		1,466		1,492		1,466			
Diluted		1,541		1,552		1,541		1,552			

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

	Common			Additional Paid - In			Ac	cumulated	St	Total ockholders'
-	Shares	Amo	ount	Capital	Shares	Amount		Deficit		Equity
Balances at December 31, 2014 (audited)	1,692	\$	3	\$ 156,073	226	\$ (4,521)	\$	(130,908)	\$	20,647
Net income								426		426
Stock based compensation				66						66
Balances at June 30, 2015 (reviewed)	1,692	\$	3	\$ 156,139	226	\$ (4,521)	\$	(130,482)	\$	21,139
Balances at December 31, 2015 (audited)	1,711	\$	3	\$ 156,216	226	\$ (4,521)	\$	(130,434)	\$	21,264
Net income								439		439
Stock issuance	13									
Stock based compensation				84						84
Balances at June 30, 2016 (reviewed)	1,724	\$	3	\$ 156,300	226	\$ (4,521)	\$	(129,995)	\$	21,787

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

For the Six Months Ended June 30,		2016		2015
	(R	eviewed)	(F	leviewed)
Cash flows from operating activities				
Net income	\$	439	\$	426
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:				
Stock based compensation		84		66
Depreciation and amortization		272		244
Amortization of deferred financing costs		27		29
Provision for doubtful accounts		7		55
Provision for obsolete inventory		(52)		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities				
Accounts receivable, net		396		841
Inventories, net		(905)		686
Prepaid expenses		(63)		(13)
Accounts payable		(210)		(1,305)
Accrued expenses and other		367		(893)
Net cash provided by operating activities		362		136
Cash flows from investing activities				
Purchase of property and equipment		(19)		(61)
Net cash used in investing activities		(19)		(61)
Cash flows from financing activities				
Contingent purchase price				(128)
Proceeds (repayment) on line of credit, net		(43)		334
Increase in excess of outstanding checks				19
Repayment of term debt		(300)		(300)
Net cash used in financing activities		(343)		(75)
Net change in cash				
Cash, beginning of period				
Cash, end of period	\$		\$	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$	45	\$	58
Cash paid during the period for taxes	\$	87	\$	146
odon paid danny the period for taxes	Ψ	0,	Ψ	170

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 1. Nature of operations

Costar Technologies, Inc. ("Costar Technologies") was incorporated in the State of Delaware in February 1997 under the name "Fairmarket, Inc.". Costar Technologies, and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Costar Video Systems, LLC ("Costar"), LQ Corporation ("LQ"), and CohuHD Costar, LLC ("CohuHD Costar") (collectively the "Company"), develops, designs and distributes a range of security solution products such as surveillance cameras, lenses, digital video recorders and high speed domes as well as industrial vision products to observe repetitive production and assembly lines, thereby increasing efficiency by detecting faults in the production process. CohuHD Costar is a leading provider of video cameras and related products, specializing in IP video solutions for traffic monitoring, security, surveillance and military applications; and accessories such as cables, camera mounts, lenses and data storage devices.

#### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies

#### Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and include the accounts of Costar Technologies and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015.

These consolidated financial statements were approved by management and available for issuance on August 11, 2016. Subsequent events have been evaluated through this date.

#### Commitments and Contingencies

The Company records and/or discloses commitments and contingencies in accordance with ASC 450, Contingencies. ASC 450 applies to an existing condition, situation, or set of circumstances involving uncertainty as to possible loss that will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. At this time there are no matters that are expected to have an adverse, material effect on the consolidated financial statements (See Note 12).

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had no cash equivalents.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are uncollateralized customer obligations recorded at net realizable values. The Company maintains an allowance for estimated losses resulting from the failure of customers to make required payments and for anticipated returns. The allowance is based on specific facts and circumstances surrounding individual customers as well as historical experience. Provisions for losses on receivables and returns are charged to income to maintain the allowance at a level considered adequate to cover losses and future returns. Receivables are charged off against the reserve when they are deemed uncollectible and returns are charged off against the reserve when the actual returns are incurred.

#### Inventories

Inventories are recorded on the first in first out basis and are stated at the lower of average cost, standard cost, or market. A provision is made to reduce excess or obsolete inventories to their net realizable value. The reserve for inventory obsolescence was \$948 and \$1,000 as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Inventories at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were comprised of the following:

	June	e 30, 2016	December 31, 2015			
Parts, components, and materials	\$	2,206	\$	1,982		
Work-in-process		820		1,064		
Finished products		5,922		4,945		
Total Inventory	\$	8,948	\$	7,991		

#### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of 3-5 years as follows:

Computer hardware and software	3 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 years
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of lease term or asset useful life

#### Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with GAAP, intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized, but instead tested for impairment. Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment at least annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. Impairment losses are recognized if the fair value of the intangible asset is less than its carrying value.

Property and equipment and intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives. These assets are reviewed for impairment, at the asset group level, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the assets may not be recoverable. A loss is recognized in the consolidated statements of income if it is determined that an impairment exists based on expected future undiscounted cash flows. The amount of the impairment is the excess of the carrying amount of the impaired asset over its fair value.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

#### Goodwill

Goodwill is tested annually for impairment, or sooner when circumstances indicate an impairment may exist. The Company has elected to first perform a qualitative assessment, based on the entity's events and circumstances, to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The results of this qualitative assessment determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step impairment test. For the year ending December 31, 2015 the Company performed step one of the impairment test and estimated the fair value of CohuHD Costar at December 31, 2015, noting it exceeded its carrying value. Accordingly, step two of the test was not required to be performed and no goodwill impairment was recognized at December 31, 2015. No indicators warranting reevaluation arose during the six months ended June 30, 2016.

#### Fair Value Measurements

The Company follows the guidance from FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which defines fair value, establishes guidelines for measuring fair value and expands disclosures regarding fair value measurements. This accounting standard does not require any new fair value measurements. The Company applies fair value accounting for all financial assets and liabilities and non-financial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. The Company defines fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities, which are required to be recorded at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which the Company would transact and the market-based risk measurements or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions and credit risk.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company ships and invoices its sales in accordance with signed purchase orders. The Company only recognizes revenue when it is realized and earned when the following criteria are met: there is evidence of an agreement; delivery has occurred; the selling price is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. The Company considers criteria to have been met when goods are shipped in accordance with signed purchase orders. Any software imbedded in the products sold is considered incidental to the product being sold.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2016 the Financial Accounting Standards Boards (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-12 (ASU 2016-12): Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients. In April 2016 the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-10 (ASU 2016-10): Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing. In March 2016 the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-08 (ASU 2016-08): Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Principal versus Agent Considerations. These amendments provide additional clarification and implementation guidance on the previously issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09: Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2016-12 provides clarifying guidance on assessing collectability, noncash consideration, presentation of sales taxes and transition. ASU 2016-10 provides additional guidance on materiality of performance obligations, evaluating distinct performance obligations, treatment of shipping and handling costs and determining whether an entity's promise to grant a license provides a customer with either a right to use or access an entity's intellectual property. ASU 2016-08 clarifies how an entity should identify the specified good or service for the principal versus agent evaluation and how it should apply the control principle to certain types of arrangements. ASU 2016-10 and ASU 2016-08 are effective in connection with ASU 2014-09.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)

In March 2016 the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-09 (ASU 2016-09): Compensation – Stock Compensation: Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018. ASU 2016-09 simplifies several aspects of the stock compensation guidance including income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, classification on the statement of cash flows, expected term of awards and the intrinsic value election for liability-classified awards. The amendments in ASU 2016-09 are to be applied differently upon adoption with certain amendments being applied prospectively, retrospectively and under a modified retrospective transition method. The Company is currently evaluating the effects the adoption of ASU 2016-09 will have on the consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016 the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-02 (ASU 2016-02): Leases, effective the first quarter of 2020. ASU 2016-02 was issued in three parts: Section A, "Leases: Amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification," Section B, "Conforming Amendments Related to Leases: Amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification," and Section C, "Background Information and Basis for Considerations." The most significant change for lessees is the requirement under the new guidance to recognize right-of-use assets and lease liabilities for all leases not considered short-term leases. Other changes in the new guidance include: (a) defining initial direct costs to only include those incremental costs that would not have been incurred if the lease had not been entered into, (b) requiring related party leases to be accounted for based on their legally enforceable terms and conditions, (c) eliminating the additional requirements that must be applied today to leases involving real estate and (d) revising the circumstances under which the transfer contract in a sale-leaseback transaction should be accounted for as the sale of an asset by the seller-lessee and the purchase of an asset by the buyer-lessor. In addition, leases are subject to new disclosure requirements. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the pending adoption of ASU 2016-02 on the consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-11 (ASU 2015-11): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory, effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. ASU 2015-11 changes the inventory measurement principle for entities using the first-in, first out (FIFO) or average cost methods. For entities utilizing one of these methods, the inventory measurement principle will change from lower of cost or market to the lower of cost and net realizable value. The Company is currently evaluating the provisions of ASU 2015-11 and assessing the impact, if any, it may have on the financial position and results of operations.

In April 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-03 (ASU 2015-03): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs, effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. ASU 2015-03 requires that all costs incurred to issue debt be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the debt. It is effective retrospectively for all prior periods presented in the financial statements beginning in the first quarter 2016 and is only expected to impact the presentation of the Company's consolidated balance sheet. In August 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-15 (ASU 2015-15): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements, effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. ASU 2015-15 discusses the presentation and subsequent measurement of debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements which was not specifically addressed in ASU 2015-03. ASU 2015-15 allows for a Company to record debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements as an asset and subsequently amortizing the deferred debt issuance costs ratably over the term of the line-of-credit agreement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the arrangement. The Company adopted and applied ASU 2015-03 retrospectively during the six months ending June 30, 2016.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2014-09 (ASU 2014-09): Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), to supersede nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance under U.S. GAAP. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is to recognize revenues when promised goods or services are transferred to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which an entity is expected to be entitled for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 defines a five step process to achieve this core principle and, in doing so, it is possible more judgment and estimates may be required within the revenue recognition process than required under existing U.S. GAAP, including identifying performance obligations in the contract, estimating the amount of variable consideration to include in the transaction price and allocating the transaction price to each performance obligation. ASU 2014-09 is effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2018, with early adoption permitted, using either of two methods: (a) retrospective to each prior reporting period presented with the option to elect certain practical expedients as defined within ASU 2014-09; or (b) retrospective with the cumulative effect of initially applying ASU 2014-09 recognized at the date of initial application and providing certain additional disclosures as defined in ASU 2014-09. The Company has not yet selected a transition method and is currently evaluating the impact of the pending adoption of ASU 2014-09 on the consolidated financial statements.

#### Research and Development

Expenditures for research, development and engineering of software and hardware products, that are included in operating expenses in the consolidated statements of income, are expensed as incurred.

Stock Based Compensation (per share amounts shown in whole numbers)

The Company complies with the accounting and reporting requirements of the Accounting for Stock Based Compensation guidelines which require companies to record compensation expense for share-based awards issued to employees in exchange for services provided. The amount of the compensation expense is based on the estimated fair value of the awards on their grant dates and is generally recognized over the applicable vesting period.

The fair value of stock options is determined using an option-pricing model that takes into account the stock price at the grant date, the exercise price, the expected life of the option, the volatility of the underlying stock, expected dividends, and the risk free interest rate over the expected life of the option.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 the Company recognized \$84 and \$66 in stock based compensation expense in its consolidated financial statements relating to the issuance of stock options, respectively.

The fair value of the stock options granted during the six months period ending June 30, 2016 and 2015 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model based on the following assumptions:

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2016	2015		
Expected dividend yield	0.00%	0.00%		
Expected stock price volatility	69.77%	59.51%		
Risk-free interest rate	2.00%	2.00%		
Expected life	10 years	10 years		
Weighted-average fair value of options granted	\$6.43	\$8.42		

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

Basic and Diluted Net Income per Share (per share amounts shown in whole numbers)

Basic income per share is computed by dividing income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted income per share reflects the dilution of common stock equivalents such as options to the extent the impact is dilutive. As the Company incurred net income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, potentially dilutive securities have been included in the diluted net income per share computations and any potentially anti-dilutive shares have been excluded and are shown below.

The following table reconciles the number of shares utilized in the net income per share calculations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six	Months E	nded June 30,		
		2016		2015	_	2016		2015	
Net income	\$	135	\$	397	\$	439	\$	426	
Shares									
Weighted average shares outstanding - bas	ic	1,492		1,466		1,492		1,466	
Weighted average dilutive share equivalents	•								
from stock options		49		86		49		86	
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted		1,541		1,552		1,541		1,552	
Net income per share - basic	\$	0.09	\$	0.27	\$	0.29	\$	0.29	
Net income per share - diluted	\$	0.09	\$	0.26	\$	0.28	\$	0.27	

The number of potentially dilutive shares from stock options excluded from the diluted net income per share calculation as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 was 36 and 5, respectively.

#### Income Taxes

The Company complies with GAAP which requires an asset and liability approach to financial reporting for income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed for differences between the consolidated financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities that will result in future taxable or deductible amounts, based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred income tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

The determination of the Company's provision for income taxes requires significant judgment, the use of estimates, and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. Significant judgment is required in assessing the timing and amounts of deductible and taxable items and the probability of sustaining uncertain tax positions. The benefits of uncertain tax positions are recorded in the Company's consolidated financial statements only after determining a more-likely-than-not probability that the uncertain tax positions will withstand challenge, if any, from tax authorities. When facts and circumstances change, the Company reassesses these probabilities and records any changes in the consolidated financial statements as appropriate.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 2. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

Income Taxes (continued)

In accordance with GAAP, the Company is required to determine whether a tax position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by the applicable taxing authority, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company files an income tax return in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and may file income tax returns in various U.S. states and foreign jurisdictions. The tax benefit recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. De-recognition of a tax benefit previously recognized results in the Company recording a tax liability that increases the accumulated deficit. Generally, the Company is no longer subject to income tax examination by major taxing authorities for the years before 2012.

#### Operating Segments

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company recognizes three reportable segments: "Costar Video Systems," "CohuHD Costar" and "Other."

#### 3. Segment information

Our business segments offer a variety of products (See Note 1) and are managed separately as each business requires different technology and marketing strategies. Our reportable segments are Costar Video System, CohuHD Costar and Other. The Other segment encompasses the Company's costs associated with federal income taxes, company-wide financing (including interest expense), executive compensation and other corporate expenses. Financial information by reportable segment for the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2016 and 2015 is presented below:

		Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended 2016 2015 2016				nded June 30, 2015		
Revenues	-							
Costar Video Systems	\$	5,581	\$	5,535	\$	11,167	\$	10,281
CohuHD Costar		3,501		3,765		8,045		7,118
		9,082		9,300		19,212		17,399
Net income (loss)  Costar Video Systems CohuHD Costar Other	\$	778 (57) (586) 135	\$	913 106 (622) 397	\$	1,375 297 (1,233) 439	\$	1,458 40 (1,072) 426

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 3. Segment information (continued)

Total assets by business segment at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 are as follows:

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Costar Video Systems	\$ 10,067	\$ 9,427
CohuHD Costar	10,596	10,846
Other	7,325	7,373
	27,988	27,646

#### 4. Property and equipment

Property and equipment at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, were as follows:

	2	2016	2015			
Office furniture and equipment Less accumulated depreciation	\$	885 (532)	\$	915 (517)		
Total property and equipment, net	\$	353	\$	398		

Depreciation expense for each of the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$31 and for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$64 and \$36, respectively.

#### 5. Intangible assets

The following is a summary of amortized and unamortized intangible assets at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	June 30, 2016		
	Gross Amount		cumulated ortization
Amortized intangible assets			
Customer relations - Southern Imaging	\$ 1,599	\$	1,599
Distribution agreement - Southern Imaging	1,468		734
Customer relations - IVS	125		97
Covenant not to compete - IVS	50		50
Trade name - CohuHD	1,657		473
Customer relationships - CohuHD	779		163
Covenant not to compete - CohuHD	20		8
Total amortized intangible assets	5,698		3,124
Unamortized intangible assets			
Trade name - Costar	800		
Trade name - IVS	125		
Goodwill - CohuHD	2,063		
Total unamortized intangible assets	2,988		
Total intangible assets	\$ 8,686	\$	3,124

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 5. Intangible assets (continued)

	December 31, 2015		
	Gross Amount	_	Accumulated Amortization
Amortized intangible assets			
Customer relations - Southern Imaging	\$ 1,599	\$	1,599
Distribution agreement - Southern Imaging	1,468		697
Customer relations - IVS	125		87
Covenant not to compete - IVS	50		50
Trade name - CohuHD	1,657		355
Customer relationships - CohuHD	779		122
Covenant not to compete - CohuHD	20		6
Total amortized intangible assets	5,698		2,916
Unamortized intangible assets			
Trade name - Costar	800		
Trade name - IVS	125		
Goodwill - CohuHD	2,063		
Total unamortized intangible assets	2,988		
Total intangible assets	\$ 8,686	\$	2,916

The weighted average amortization period for the Company's intangible assets is 8 years. Amortizable intangible assets estimated useful lives are as follows:

Trade name	7 years
Customer relationships	6 and 10 years
Distribution agreements	20 years
Covenants not to compete	3 and 5 years

Amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$103 and \$105 and for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$208, respectively. Future amortization expense is as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	
2017	\$ 417
2018	402
2019	395
2020	389
2021	387
Thereafter	584
Total future amortization expense	\$ 2,574

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 6. Lines of credit and long-term debt

Effective June 3, 2014, the Company entered into an Amended Loan and Security Agreement ("Accord") with Bank of Texas. The Accord allows for up to \$7,000 in a revolving line of credit and a \$3,000 term loan with maturities of June 3, 2016 and June 3, 2019, respectively. Upon expiration of the revolving line of credit under the Accord the Company entered into a Business Loan Agreement ("Agreement") with Bank of Texas for a revolving line of credit up to \$3,000 subject to borrowing base requirements detailed in the Agreement. The Agreement matures on June 3, 2018. The obligations under the Accord and Agreement with Bank of Texas are secured by a lien on substantially all accounts receivable, inventory, and equipment. As of June 30, 2016, the Company was paying interest at LIBOR plus 2.9% (3.35%) for the term loan and the line of credit.

Future principal payments for the term loan are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	
2017	\$ 600
2018	300
Less: deferred financing costs, net	(14)
	\$ 886

The Accord and Agreement with Bank of Texas contains customary representations and warranties, events of default and covenants, including, among other things, covenants that restrict the ability of Costar to incur certain additional indebtedness or to issue distributions or dividends. The Company is also restricted in its mergers and acquisitions activity. The Accord and Agreement with Bank of Texas contain financial covenants calculated on a consolidated basis requiring the Company to maintain a certain Debt Service Coverage Ratio, Minimum Profitability, and a Minimum Tangible Net Worth. The Company maintains zero balance accounts, which are swept daily to the revolving line of credit. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, \$1,146 and \$1,189 was owed to Bank of Texas on the revolving line of credit and \$900 and \$1,200 was owed to Bank of Texas on the term loan, respectively. Additional advances up to \$1,854 are available under the revolving line of credit at June 30, 2016.

The Company paid approximately \$125 in various fees associated with securing the Accord. The fees associated with the term loan are presented in the consolidated balance sheet as a direct deduction to the carrying value of the debt and are amortized over the life of the loan using the effective-interest method. Deferred financing costs relating to the term loan were \$14 and \$19 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The fees associated with the line of credit are presented in the consolidated balance sheet as deferred financing costs and are amortized using the straight-line method over the life of the loan. Deferred financing costs relating to the line of credit were \$0 and \$22 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

#### 7. Income taxes

Total income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$94 and \$255 and for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$290 and \$273, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate of approximately 40% differed from the U.S. federal statutory tax rate due primarily to state taxes.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 8. Stockholders' equity (shown in whole amounts)

At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the authorized capital stock of the Company consisted of (i) 10,000,000 shares of voting common stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share and (ii) 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there was no preferred stock issued and outstanding. The Company's Board has the authority to determine the voting powers, designations, preferences, privileges and restrictions of the preferred shares. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there were 1,497,959 and 1,484,709 shares of common stock outstanding and 1,723,725 and 1,710,475 shares of common stock issued.

#### 9. Stock option plan (shown in whole amounts)

The Company's 2000 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the "2000 Incentive Plan") provides for awards in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted stock awards and other forms of awards to officers, directors, employees and consultants of the Company. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there were 119,066 and 123,282 share options issued under this plan, respectively.

The Board of Directors of the Company determines the term of each option, the option price, and the number of shares for which each option is granted and the times at which each option vests. For holders of 10% or more of the Company's outstanding common stock, incentive stock options may not be granted at less than 110% of the fair market value of the common stock at the date of grant.

At the Company's annual meeting, December 16, 2014, the Company's stockholders approved and adopted the Company's 2014 Omnibus Performance Award Plan (the "Plan"). The Board adopted the Plan on November 17, 2014, subject to and effective upon its approval by stockholders. With the adoption of the Plan, no new awards will be granted under the 2000 Incentive Plan, although it will remain in effect for options that are currently outstanding in accordance with their terms. The Plan authorizes the grant of awards relating to 150,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there were 12,000 and 8,000 share options issued under this plan, respectively.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at June 30, 2016:

	Options	Outstanding		•	ly Vested and cisable
Range of Exercise Price Per Share	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (In Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share
\$0.725-\$15.020	131,066	5.45	\$5.36	119,462	\$5.07

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 9. Stock option plan (shown in whole amounts) (continued)

Stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 is as follows:

	2016		2015	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share
Outstanding at beginning of year Granted Exercised	131,282 4,000	\$5.46 \$8.50	135,982 4,000	\$5.24 \$12.25
Canceled	(4,216)	\$11.21		
Outstanding at period end	131,066	\$5.36	139,982	\$5.44
Options exercisable at period end	119,462	\$5.07	113,611	\$4.87

On October 7, 2015 the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors authorized the grant of 13,250 restricted stock awards ("2015 Awards") to certain employees pursuant to the provisions of the Plan. The 2015 Awards were granted on October 16, 2015. All of the 2015 Awards are subject to a time-vesting schedule and 75% are subject to performance conditions relating to EBITDA growth for the years ending December 31, 2015 and 2016, as stated in the 2015 Awards Agreements. The 25% of the 2015 Awards not subject to performance conditions have a fair market grant date value of approximately \$30,000, with the expense recognized over the two year vesting period. Stock based compensation expense of \$7,400 was recognized in the Company's financial statements in relation to the 2015 Awards during the six month period ending June 30, 2016.

On June 1, 2016 an additional grant of 13,250 restricted stock awards ("2016 Awards") was authorized by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. All of the 2016 Awards are subject to a time-vesting schedule and 75% are subject to performance conditions relating to EBITDA growth for the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2017, as stated in the 2016 Awards Agreements. The 25% of the 2016 Awards not subject to performance conditions have a fair market grant date value of approximately \$28,000, with the expense recognized over the two year vesting period. The 2016 Awards subject to the performance conditions have a fair market grant date value of \$85,000, with the expense recognized over the two year vesting period based upon the probability of achievement. Stock based compensation expense of \$28,300 was recognized in the Company's financial statements in relation to the 2016 Awards during the six month period ending June 30, 2016.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 the Company recognized approximately \$84,000 and \$66,000 in stock based compensation expense in its consolidated financial statements, respectively.

#### 10. Lease agreements

On January 31, 2011 the Company entered into a new lease agreement for certain facilities that will expire in 2018. Rent expense under the agreement for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was approximately \$26 and \$29 and for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was approximately \$120 and \$56, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 10. Lease agreements (continued)

On June 6, 2014 the Company signed a three year lease with Cohu, Inc. in Poway, CA for the CohuHD Costar business. On December 4, 2015 the Company received a notice of lease termination from Cohu, Inc. terminating the lease effective December 31, 2016. Rent expense under the agreement for the three month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was approximately \$132 and \$128 and for the six month period ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 was approximately \$286 and \$251, respectively.

On May 20, 2016 the Company signed a seventy-seven month lease for the new CohuHD Costar facilities commencing on January 1, 2017 that will expire in 2023.

During the six month period ending June 30, 2016 the Company made a one-time catchup adjustment to properly reflect straight line rent on the commenced leases described above. This adjustment resulted in an additional \$84 of rent expense for the six month period ending June 30, 2016.

Future minimum annual rent payments as of June 30, 2016 are approximately as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	
2017	\$ 509
2018	461
2019	372
2020	347
2021	357
Thereafter	715
Total future minimum lease commitments	\$ 2,761

#### 11. Risk concentrations

#### Concentration of Cash

The Company maintains its cash balances in financial institutions. These balances are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250 per institution. The Company is subject to credit risk to the extent any financial institution with which it conducts business is unable to fulfill contractual obligations on its behalf. Management monitors the financial condition of such financial institutions and does not anticipate any losses from these financial institutions.

#### Concentration of Customers

For the six months ended June 30, 2016 Costar Video Systems' two largest customers, Wal-Mart and Protection 1, accounted for approximately \$5,736 or 29.9% of the Company's total revenue. For the six months ended June 30, 2015 Costar Video Systems' two largest customers, Wal-Mart and Diebold, accounted for approximately \$5,034 or 28.9% of the Company's total revenue. Amounts owed by two main customers from the Costar Video Systems operating segment accounted for \$1,381 or 27.0% of the Company's outstanding accounts receivable balance as of June 30, 2016. Amounts owed by three main customer from the Costar Video Systems operating segment accounted for approximately \$2,187 or 39.7% of the Company's outstanding accounts receivable balance at December 31, 2015.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (SEE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REVIEW REPORT) (AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THOUSANDS)

#### 11. Risk concentrations (continued)

Concentration of Suppliers

For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company made purchases from one main supplier of the Costar Video Systems operating segment of approximately 31.1% and 21.2%, respectively. Amounts owed to one main supplier of the Costar Video Systems operating segment accounted for 51.5% and two main suppliers accounted for 50.8% of the Company's accounts payable balance, as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

#### 12. Contingent liabilities

Sales Tax Liabilities

Subsequent to December 31, 2015 it was determined that Costar Video Systems had nexus in California and should have been assessing and collecting sales tax on sales into California dating back to 2013. An estimated liability of \$110 was included in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2015. The Company attempted to; (1) obtain resale or tax exemption certificates from its customers, (2) prove that a customer directly remitted sales tax to the state on the sales in question or (3) assess and collect sales tax from its customers to minimize its liability to the state. During the three month period ended June 30, 2016 Costar concluded the procedures described above which resulted in payment of approximately \$15 to the state of California. The reversal of the excess accrual of \$95 is represented as an offset to operating expenses in the consolidated statement of income for the three month period ended June 30, 2016.